

The Predictive Power of Altruism and Prosocial Behavior on Hope: A Cross-Sectional Study

Zeynolabedin Jafari¹  Mohammadbagher. Jafari^{2, 3*} 


¹ Department of Sociology, Faculty of economics, Management and Social Sciences, University of Shiraz, Shiraz, Iran

² Department of Social Sciences, KMAN Research Institute, Richmond Hill, Ontario, Canada



³ Department of Sociology of Culture, Istanbul, Türkiye

* Corresponding author email address: mbjafari@kmanresce.ca

Editor

Akbar Atadokht 
Professor of Psychology
Department, Faculty of Psychology
and Educational Sciences, ,
University of Mohaghegh Ardabili,
Ardabil, Iran
atadokht@uma.ac.ir

Reviewers

Reviewer 1: Kamdin Parsakia 
Department of Psychology and Counseling, KMAN Research Institute, Richmond
Hill, Ontario, Canada. Email: kamdinparsakia@kmanresce.ca
Reviewer 2: Nader Monirpour 
Assistant Professoee, Department of Psychology, Qom Branch, Islamic Azad
University, Qom, Iran. monirpoornn@qom-iau.ac.ir

1. Round 1

1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

Clarify how the theoretical framework directly informs the study's hypotheses and findings.

Include a wider range of studies to contextualize the research within global research trends.

Elaborate on the implications of using a cross-sectional design for the study's conclusions.

Address how other variables might influence the studied relationships.

Expand on how these findings can be applied in real-world settings to foster hope through altruistic and prosocial behaviors.

Clearly delineate the study's unique contributions to the field.

Response: Revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

The paper is well-organized with a logical flow from the introduction, methods, results, to discussion. However, the link between the theoretical framework and the empirical findings could be articulated more clearly to enhance coherence.

The review provides a solid foundation for the study, effectively connecting past research on altruism, prosocial behavior, and hope. Expanding on how these constructs have been explored in varying contexts might provide a richer background.

The methodology is robust, with clear descriptions of the instruments used and the sampling strategy. Yet, a more in-depth discussion on the choice of cross-sectional design and its implications on the findings could improve this section.

The statistical analysis is well-executed. Incorporating a discussion on the potential for other variables that could mediate or moderate the relationships studied would deepen the analysis.

The conclusion effectively ties the findings back to the paper's objectives. It would benefit from a more extensive exploration of the practical applications of this research in educational and psychological interventions.

Response: revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

2. Revised

Editor's decision: Accepted.

Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.