

Cultural Sensitivity in Family Research: Bridging Gaps

Mehdi. Rostami^{1*}, Shokouh. Navabinejad¹

¹ Department of Psychology and Counseling, KMAN Research Institute, Richmond Hill, Ontario, Canada

* Corresponding author email address: mehdirostami@kmanresce.ca

E d i t o r

Tahmineh Shaverdi
Associate Professor, Department of
Family Sociology, Research
Institute of Humanities and Social
Studies, Jihad University, Tehran,
Iran t.shaverdi@ihss.ac.ir

R e v i e w e r s

Reviewer 1: Mehdi Ghezelsfloo
Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, Gonbadkavos University,
Gonbadkavos, Iran. Email: m.ghezelsfloo@gonbad.ac.ir
Reviewer 2: Zahra Yousefi
Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, Isfahan Branch (Khorasgan), Islamic
Azad University, Isfahan, Iran Z.yousefi1393@khuif.ac.ir

1. Round 1

1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

The manuscript is generally well-structured, with a clear introduction, literature review, and conclusion. However, it could benefit from a more detailed methodology section that outlines the criteria for selecting the cited studies and a discussion section that elaborates on the implications of these findings for future research and practice.

The literature review is extensive and covers a wide range of sources. Nonetheless, it would be advantageous to include a critical analysis of the methodologies of the studies reviewed. Such an analysis could provide insights into the strengths and limitations of current research approaches and suggest areas for methodological improvement.

The manuscript does not include any original data or detailed case studies that could illustrate the practical application of culturally sensitive approaches. Incorporating case studies or examples of successful implementation could make the argument more compelling and provide a clearer guide for readers looking to apply these concepts in their own work.

The references are comprehensive and relevant to the topic. Ensuring all references are up-to-date and considering the inclusion of more recent studies could further strengthen the manuscript.

Response: Revised and uploaded the new document.

1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

While the manuscript successfully argues for the importance of cultural sensitivity, it occasionally lacks specificity in connecting the reviewed literature to actionable strategies for researchers and practitioners. A more detailed discussion of specific cultural sensitivity approaches and how they can be implemented in family research and healthcare settings would enhance the manuscript's utility.

The analysis of the literature is thorough but tends to be descriptive rather than analytical. The manuscript would benefit from a deeper examination of the theoretical underpinnings of cultural sensitivity and how these theories have evolved or been challenged over time.

The writing is clear and accessible, which is commendable. However, there are instances where the use of passive voice and general statements detract from the persuasiveness of the argument. Striving for more active language and precise, evidence-backed statements could improve readability and impact.

Response: Revised and uploaded the new document.

2. Revised

Editor's decision: Accepted.

Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.