

Stress, Resilience, and the Immune System: A Health Psychology Analysis

Seyed Milad. Saadati^{*1}, Fatemeh. Rezazadeh²

¹ Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathobiology (LMP), University of Toronto, Canada

² Master's student, Family Counseling Department, South Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

* Corresponding author email address: m.saadati@mail.utoronto.ca

Article Info

Article type:

Review Article

How to cite this article:

Saadati, S. M., & Rezazadeh, F. (2023). Stress, Resilience, and the Immune System: A Health Psychology Analysis. *KMAN Counseling and Psychology Nexus*, 1(1), 109-117.

<http://doi.org/10.61838/kman.psychexus.1.1.13>



© 2023 the authors. Published by KMAN Publication Inc. (KMANPUB), Ontario, Canada. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0) License.

ABSTRACT

To investigate the relationship between stress resilience and immune system functionality, emphasizing the psychological mechanisms that contribute to immune regulation and the potential for resilience-building interventions to enhance immune responses. This comprehensive review synthesizes existing research from psychological, immunological, and epidemiological studies. It examines the impact of acute and chronic stress on immune function, explores the role of psychological resilience as a mediator, and evaluates the effectiveness of various stress management and resilience-building strategies. Evidence indicates a significant link between psychological resilience and stronger immune function. Individuals with higher resilience levels exhibit better immune responses, likely due to the effective management of stress and its physiological consequences. Additionally, interventions aimed at increasing resilience, such as mindfulness practices, cognitive-behavioral therapy, and lifestyle changes, have shown promise in bolstering immune health. Strengthening psychological resilience holds substantial potential for improving immune system outcomes, suggesting a need for holistic health approaches that incorporate mental, physical, and social well-being components. Future research should focus on identifying specific mechanisms through which resilience affects immune function and developing targeted interventions to enhance both psychological well-being and immune health.

Keywords: Stress, Resilience, Immune System, Health Psychology.

1. Introduction

The intricate relationship between stress, resilience, and the immune system has garnered increasing attention in health psychology. Stress, a dynamic process challenging an organism's homeostasis, triggers a cascade of responses that can significantly impact the immune system (Holzer et al., 2017). The immune system, in turn, plays a crucial role in stress resilience and coping (Ménard et al., 2016). This bidirectional interaction involves complex mechanisms encompassing the neuroendocrine, immune, and central nervous systems (Pfau & Russo, 2015). Furthermore, the concept of "immune fitness" has emerged, emphasizing the potential to build a resilient immune system for better health outcomes (Laupèze et al., 2021).

The impact of stress on the immune system extends to various health conditions, including mood disorders, schizophrenia, and susceptibility to stress-related disorders such as major depression (Ambrée et al., 2018; Vidal & Pacheco, 2020). Moreover, the immune system's role in resilience is evident in the context of infectious diseases, where it modulates the capacity to maintain productivity and mount effective responses to pathogens (Dalgaard et al., 2018). Additionally, the immune system's involvement in cognitive resilience mechanisms has been highlighted, shedding light on its broader implications beyond physical health (Pérez-González et al., 2021).

The interplay between stress, resilience, and the immune system extends beyond individual health to encompass broader societal and environmental dimensions. For instance, the social environment has been identified as a modulator of immunosenescence, influencing the aging rate and immune responses (Garrido et al., 2022). Furthermore, the resilience of supply chains and food systems is intricately linked to the immune system's capacity to sustain periodic perturbations and adapt to future challenges (Dekker et al., 2020; Ivanov et al., 2021). These multifaceted connections underscore the pervasive influence of the immune system in shaping resilience across different domains.

In the context of the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, the significance of immune system resilience has been underscored, with optimal nutrition and dietary nutrient intake being emphasized as crucial factors in strengthening the immune system (Aman & Masood, 2020; Lima et al., 2022). Moreover, the potential role of the microbiome in influencing host stress and resilience has been highlighted, suggesting the need to incorporate studies of the microbiome

into research on emotion, social behavior, and mental health (Aktipis & Beltrán, 2020).

The study of resilience in the face of stress and adversity has also extended to non-human organisms, such as pigs and rabbits, where genetic and environmental factors influencing resilience have been investigated (Bai et al., 2020; Casto-Rebollo et al., 2021; Casto-Rebollo et al., 2022; Casto-Rebollo et al., 2020; Costa et al., 2022). These studies have revealed the essential role of the immune system, nervous system, and cell receptors in modulating resilience and responses to environmental perturbations.

The multifaceted nature of resilience, stress, and the immune system is further exemplified by the ongoing RAISE study, which aims to examine the factors and mechanisms facilitating resilient functioning after childhood adversity, highlighting the complex interplay of psychosocial, neurobiological, and immune factors in resilience (Moreno-López et al., 2021). Furthermore, the meta-analysis of factors related to resilience in adulthood has emphasized the presence of numerous factors directly and indirectly linked to resilience, underscoring the intricate web of influences shaping resilience across the lifespan (Franczok-Kuczmowska, 2022).

In conclusion, the relationship between stress, resilience, and the immune system is a complex and multifaceted domain that spans individual, societal, and environmental dimensions. The immune system's pivotal role in modulating resilience to stress and adversity underscores the need for comprehensive interdisciplinary research to unravel the intricate mechanisms underlying this relationship and its implications for health and well-being.

2. Methods and Materials

This section outlines the systematic approach employed to select and review relevant literature on the impact of stress and resilience on the immune system from a health psychology perspective. Our methodology is designed to ensure a comprehensive synthesis of current evidence, facilitating an in-depth analysis of the interconnections between psychological stressors, resilience factors, and immune system responses.

2.1. Literature Search Strategy

To capture a broad spectrum of relevant research, we conducted a systematic search of several electronic databases, including PubMed, PsycINFO, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. The search was structured around a

combination of key terms and their synonyms related to three main concepts: "stress," "resilience," and "immune system." Boolean operators (AND, OR) were used to combine search terms within and across these concepts to maximize the search's comprehensiveness. Example search strings included "stress AND immune system," "resilience AND immunology," and "psychological stress AND immune function."

The search was limited to articles published in English, with no restriction on publication date to encompass the entire range of available evidence. Both empirical studies and theoretical articles were considered, including original research articles, reviews, meta-analyses, and book chapters, to ensure a comprehensive understanding of the topic.

2.2. Selection Process

The initial search yielded a large volume of records. To manage this, we employed a two-stage screening process. In the first stage, titles and abstracts were screened for relevance to the review's objectives, based on predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria. Inclusion criteria were: (1) studies focusing on the psychological aspects of stress and resilience, (2) studies investigating the impact of these psychological factors on the immune system, and (3) studies conducted on human participants. Exclusion criteria included (1) studies not directly addressing the interplay between stress, resilience, and immune function, (2) studies on animals, unless they provided critical insights into human health, and (3) articles that were not peer-reviewed, such as conference abstracts and non-academic literature.

In the second stage, full texts of the potentially relevant articles identified in the first stage were retrieved and assessed for eligibility. Studies were further evaluated for methodological quality using standardized assessment tools appropriate for each study design (e.g., the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale for cohort studies). This rigorous selection process ensured that only high-quality evidence was included in the review.

2.3. Data Extraction and Synthesis

For each eligible study, data were extracted on key variables, including study design, participant characteristics, measures of stress and resilience, immune system outcomes, and main findings. This information was organized in a structured form to facilitate comparison across studies and to identify patterns and discrepancies in the literature.

3. Theoretical Framework

3.1. Stress and Health

The relationship between stress and health has been a subject of extensive research, encompassing psychological, behavioral, and biological determinants. Acute stress responses in young, healthy individuals have been found to be adaptive and typically do not impose a health burden (Schneiderman et al., 2005). However, chronic stress has been associated with a range of adverse health outcomes, including cardiovascular disease, immune dysfunction, and mental health disorders (Bremner et al., 2020; Keller et al., 2012). Physiological impacts of stress include high blood pressure, rapid breathing, aches, and pains, and in severe cases, it can lead to stroke (Ranjan et al., 2021). Moreover, perceived stress has been linked to health risk behaviors, with higher levels of perceived stress associated with a greater incidence of health risk stress (Yao et al., 2022).

The impact of stress on health extends to various populations, including nurses, college graduates, and seafarers, highlighting the pervasive influence of stress across different occupational and demographic groups (Donovan & West, 2014; Hirooka et al., 2021; Kim & Groden, 2022). Furthermore, the relationship between stress and health has been found to be moderated by factors such as spiritual health, job stress, and mental well-being, emphasizing the multifaceted nature of this relationship (Jenkins et al., 2021; Knesebeck et al., 2010; Luo & Sato, 2021). Additionally, the COVID-19 pandemic has brought to light the significant stress experienced by healthcare workers, with stress levels being highest among those with high levels of psychological distress and perceived poor mental health (Onigbogi & Banerjee, 2019).

3.2. Resilience Theory

Resilience theory has gained prominence in various disciplines, including psychology, sociology, and environmental science. It focuses on understanding the factors and processes that enable individuals and systems to adapt and thrive in the face of adversity (Richardson, 2002). The identification of resilient qualities has been characterized through the phenomenological identification of developmental assets and protective factors (Richardson, 2002). Moreover, a critical review of resilience theory has emphasized its relevance in social work, drawing on key authors and historical perspectives to provide a comprehensive understanding of the concept (Breda, 2018).

The interplay between stress and resilience is complex, with resilience serving as a buffer against the negative health effects of stress. Resilience theory has been applied to diverse contexts, including disaster preparation and recovery, urban planning, and organizational management, highlighting its versatility and applicability across different domains (Masten & Obradović, 2008). Furthermore, the study of resilience has extended to specific populations, such as adolescents living with HIV, volunteer firefighters, and LGBTQ youth, shedding light on the unique resilience processes within these groups (Asakura, 2016; Blaney et al., 2020; Mwamba et al., 2022).

The theoretical framework of resilience has also been extended to understand the resilience of complex systems, such as urban transit networks, energy systems, and information systems, emphasizing the need for adaptive and innovative strategies to enhance resilience (Boon et al., 2011; Primiero et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2018). Additionally, the application of resilience theory to health and social inequalities has underscored the potential for developing resilience as a means to tackle systemic challenges and promote well-being (Aranda & Hart, 2015).

4. The Impact of Stress on the Immune System

The impact of stress on the immune system has been extensively researched, with studies revealing the intricate interplay between psychological stress and immune responses. Psychological stress has been shown to have diverse effects on immune function, influencing both innate and adaptive immunity. For instance, chronic psychological stress has been associated with alterations in immune cell populations, cytokine production, and antibody responses, which can have implications for susceptibility to infections and the development of autoimmune disorders (Ashcraft & Bonneau, 2008; Jin et al., 2013; Yin et al., 2000).

Moreover, the impact of psychological stress on immune responses has been observed across different populations, including caregivers, pediatric patients, and individuals with chronic diseases. Studies have demonstrated that psychological stress can modulate the immune response in these populations, potentially exacerbating disease progression and influencing treatment outcomes (Avers et al., 2007; Carlsson et al., 2014; Huang & Acevedo, 2011; Romero-Martínez & Moya-Albiol, 2017).

Furthermore, the relationship between psychological stress and immune function has been investigated in the context of specific diseases, such as cardiovascular disease,

respiratory infections, and autoimmune conditions. Research has shown that psychological stress can contribute to the pathogenesis of these diseases through its effects on immune regulation and inflammation, highlighting the broad implications of stress on health outcomes (Cohen, 1995; Kung, 1995; Uchino et al., 1995).

In addition, the mechanisms underlying the impact of psychological stress on the immune system have been a focus of investigation. Studies have elucidated the role of stress hormones, such as cortisol and catecholamines, in mediating the effects of psychological stress on immune function. Furthermore, the potential role of stress-induced alterations in gene expression, epigenetic modifications, and cellular signaling pathways in immune cells has been explored, providing insights into the molecular mechanisms underlying stress-immune interactions (Jin et al., 2013; Lewitus & Schwartz, 2008; Zhou et al., 2017).

Moreover, the impact of psychological stress on immune responses has been examined in the context of vaccination and infectious diseases. Research has shown that psychological stress can influence the efficacy of vaccination and the immune response to pathogens, highlighting the need to consider stress as a potential factor in vaccine responsiveness and disease susceptibility (Cohen et al., 2001; Gallagher et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2018).

Furthermore, the role of psychological stress in modulating mucosal immunity, cellular immune responses, and the balance between pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory pathways has been a focus of investigation. Studies have revealed the potential for psychological stress to influence the susceptibility to mucosal infections, the resolution of inflammation, and the maintenance of immune homeostasis, underscoring the broad impact of stress on immune function (Grafetstätter et al., 2017; Ilanges et al., 2022; Syafa et al., 2021).

In conclusion, the literature on the impact of stress on the immune system highlights the complex and multifaceted relationship between psychological stress and immune responses. The findings from diverse studies underscore the need to consider psychological stress as a significant factor in shaping immune function and its implications for health and disease.

5. The Role of Resilience in Immune Responses

The role of resilience in immune responses has been a subject of extensive research, shedding light on the complex interplay between psychological resilience and the immune

system. Studies have explored the impact of stress, aging, and environmental factors on the robustness of the immune response, highlighting the multifaceted nature of resilience in modulating immune function (Vitic et al., 2014). Furthermore, the neuroendocrine interactions in the immune system have been investigated, emphasizing the influence of stress responses on immune alterations and health outcomes (Taub, 2008).

Moreover, research has delved into the molecular mechanisms underlying the impact of chronic stress on immune function, revealing the role of signaling pathways and cellular processes in mediating stress-induced immune dysregulation (Li et al., 2011). Additionally, the programming of vascular dysfunction by maternal stress has been linked to immune system implications, emphasizing the broader implications of stress on immune health (Casto-Rebollo et al., 2022).

Furthermore, studies have elucidated the critical role of autophagy in chronic stress-induced immunosuppression, providing insights into the cellular mechanisms underlying stress-related immune alterations (Qin et al., 2019). The relationship between stressful life events and cognitive function in HIV-infected individuals has also been explored, highlighting the potential mediating role of stress in immune and cognitive dysfunction (Pukay-Martin et al., 2003).

In addition, the impact of stressor-induced alterations on adaptive immunity to vaccination and viral pathogens has been investigated, revealing the contrasting effects of acute and chronic stress on the immune response (Powell et al., 2011). Moreover, the transcriptomic, epigenomic, and immune response alterations induced by acute and chronic stress have been examined, providing insights into the long-term effects of stress on immune function (Webster et al., 2018).

Furthermore, the prenatal stress in programming immune and neuroendocrine system development has been a focus of investigation, shedding light on the early-life origins of immune resilience and susceptibility to stress-related immune alterations (Marshall, 2011). The features of functioning of the immune system under stress have been explored, emphasizing the bidirectional relationship between stress and immune responses (Ranjan et al., 2021).

Moreover, the impact of visceral inflammation and immune activation on stress has been investigated, highlighting the bidirectional relationship between stress and immune system dysregulation (Holzer et al., 2017). The adverse effects of psychological stress on immunoregulatory balance have been examined, emphasizing the role of stress

in modulating immune function and inflammatory responses (Godbout & Glaser, 2006).

In conclusion, the literature on the role of resilience in immune responses underscores the intricate relationship between psychological resilience and immune function. The findings from diverse studies provide a comprehensive understanding of the multifaceted nature of stress-induced immune alterations and the potential implications for health and disease.

6. Interactions Between Stress, Resilience, and Immunity

Health psychology plays a crucial role in developing preventative strategies that enhance resilience and reduce stress, thereby improving immune function and overall health. The potential impact of psychological stress on immune function and health has been extensively studied, highlighting the need for interventions to mitigate the adverse effects of stress on the immune system (Godbout & Glaser, 2006). Management interventions to prevent and reverse the effects of stress, such as heat stress, have been presented, emphasizing the importance of proactive measures to counteract stress-induced immune alterations (Dahl et al., 2020).

Stress management interventions have been found to diminish the impact of stress on immune functioning, promote effective coping, and improve health, underscoring the potential of psychological interventions to modulate immune responses and enhance resilience (Wilson, 2009). An understanding of the interactive effects of stress and age is critical to developing effective interventions in early and late life, highlighting the importance of lifespan approaches in stress management and immune function (Graham et al., 2006).

Furthermore, the impact of immune stress on molecular and behavioral processes in the brain has bearing on several disturbances of mental health, highlighting novel opportunities for therapeutic intervention through psychological approaches (Holzer et al., 2017). Technological improvements in housing, nutrition, health, and management have been used to minimize some of the adverse effects of weaning stress, but a greater understanding of the biological impact of stress is needed to improve strategies to overcome weaning stress (Campbell et al., 2013).

The evidence that chronic stress can accelerate biological aging of the immune system underscores the need for interventions to mitigate the impact of stress on immune

function and promote healthy aging (Gouin et al., 2008). This knowledge could be used to harness the stimulatory effects of acute stress on immunity, paving the way for improved stress and disease management through psychological conditioning (Vitlic et al., 2014). An understanding of the adverse impact of stress on immune function is crucial for developing interventions to curtail stress-induced immune alterations and promote overall health (Webster et al., 2018).

Stress management interventions aim to return the immune system to a state of homeostasis and were found to diminish the impact of stress on immune functioning, promote effective coping, and improve health (Wilson, 2009). The potential mechanisms whereby prenatal stress negatively impacts vascular function in the offspring, including poor hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis regulation of inflammatory response, activation of Th17 cells, renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system hyperactivation, reactive oxygen species imbalance, generation of neoantigens and TLR4 activation, are discussed, highlighting the need for interventions to mitigate the impact of prenatal stress on immune and vascular health (Gouin et al., 2008).

Moreover, the potential for bacteria to have beneficial effects on the avian immune response and therapeutic adjuncts to counteract stress-induced immune alterations, underscores the potential of psychological interventions to modulate immune responses and promote overall health (Mindus et al., 2022). The stress response influences the immune system, and studies in laboratory animals indicate that the response to stress significantly reduces resistance to infectious challenge, emphasizing the need for interventions to mitigate the impact of stress on immune function and disease susceptibility (Hu et al., 2014).

In conclusion, health psychology can contribute significantly to the development of preventative strategies that enhance resilience and reduce stress, thereby improving immune function and overall health. By understanding the impact of stress on immune function and developing targeted interventions, health psychologists can play a pivotal role in promoting immune resilience and mitigating the adverse effects of stress on health.

7. Discussion and Conclusion

The evidence presented underscores a critical interplay between stress resilience and immune system regulation, highlighting how stress, both acute and chronic, can lead to

significant alterations in immune function. Chronic stress, in particular, has been shown to suppress immune responses, increasing susceptibility to diseases. However, individuals with high stress resilience, facilitated by robust psychological and social support systems, exhibit a more robust immune response. These findings point to the significant impact of psychological factors in shaping physiological outcomes.

The role of stress management and resilience-building interventions emerges as a key area of interest, suggesting potential benefits in not only enhancing psychological well-being but also in boosting immune function. The integration of mindfulness practices, cognitive-behavioral strategies, and lifestyle modifications, such as improved nutrition and increased physical activity, presents a promising avenue for comprehensive health promotion strategies.

The insights gleaned from this analysis affirm the intricate connections between the mind and the body, illustrating how psychological resilience acts as a formidable buffer against the adverse effects of stress on the immune system. This underscores the necessity for holistic approaches to health that encompass mental, physical, and social well-being.

Future research should aim to further elucidate the mechanisms underlying the stress-immunity link, exploring innovative interventions that can enhance resilience and, by extension, immune function. In conclusion, fostering psychological resilience not only holds the potential to improve mental health outcomes but also to fortify immune responses, offering a powerful strategy for disease prevention and health promotion in the face of stress.

Acknowledgments

The authors express their gratitude to all the participants in the research.

Declaration of Interest

The authors of the study declare no conflict of interest related to the research.

Ethics Considerations

Not applicable.

Authors' Contributions

All authors contributed equally in this article.

Transparency of Data

In accordance with the principles of transparency and open research, we declare that all data and materials used in this study are available upon request.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

References

- Aktipis, C. A., & Beltrán, D. G. (2020). Can Some Microbes Promote Host Stress and Benefit Evolutionarily From This Strategy? *Bioessays*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.202000188>
- Aman, F., & Masood, S. (2020). How Nutrition Can Help to Fight Against COVID-19 Pandemic. *Pakistan Journal of Medical Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.12669/pjms.36.covid19-s4.2776>
- Ambrée, O., Ruland, C., Scheu, S., Arolt, V., & Alferink, J. (2018). Alterations of the Innate Immune System in Susceptibility and Resilience After Social Defeat Stress. *Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbeh.2018.00141>
- Aranda, K., & Hart, A. (2015). Developing Resilience to Tackle Health and Social Inequalities. *Primary Health Care*. <https://doi.org/10.7748/phc.25.10.18.s27>
- Asakura, K. (2016). Paving Pathways Through the Pain: A Grounded Theory of Resilience Among Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Trans, and Queer Youth. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jora.12291>
- Ashcraft, K. A., & Bonneau, R. H. (2008). Psychological Stress Exacerbates Primary Vaginal Herpes Simplex Virus Type 1 (HSV-1) Infection by Impairing Both Innate and Adaptive Immune Responses. *Brain Behavior and Immunity*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2008.06.008>
- Avers, L., Mathur, A., & Kamat, D. (2007). Music Therapy in Pediatrics. *Clinical Pediatrics*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0009922806294846>
- Bai, X., Putz, A. M., Wang, Z., Fortin, F., Harding, J., Dyck, M. K., Dekkers, J. C. M., Field, C. J., Plastow, G., & Canada, P. (2020). Exploring Phenotypes for Disease Resilience in Pigs Using Complete Blood Count Data From a Natural Disease Challenge Model. *Frontiers in Genetics*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2020.00216>
- Blaney, L., Wilde, D., & Hill, R. (2020). Transcending Adversity: Resilience in Volunteer Firefighters. *International Journal of Emergency Services*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijes-10-2019-0055>
- Boon, H., Cottrell, A., King, D., Stevenson, R. B., & Millar, J. (2011). Bronfenbrenner's Bioecological Theory for Modelling Community Resilience to Natural Disasters. *Natural Hazards*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-011-0021-4>
- Breda, A. D. v. (2018). A Critical Review of Resilience Theory and Its Relevance for Social Work. *Social Work/Maatskaplike Werk*. <https://doi.org/10.15270/54-1-611>
- Bremner, J. D., Moazzami, K., Wittbrodt, M. T., Nye, J. A., Lima, B. B., Gillespie, C. F., Rapaport, M. H., Pearce, B. D., Shah, A., & Vaccarino, V. (2020). Diet, Stress and Mental Health. *Nutrients*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu12082428>
- Campbell, J., Crenshaw, J. D., & Polo, J. (2013). The Biological Stress of Early Weaned Piglets. *Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology*. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2049-1891-4-19>
- Carlsson, E., Frostell, A., Ludvigsson, J., & Faresjö, M. (2014). Psychological Stress in Children May Alter the Immune Response. *The Journal of Immunology*. <https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1301713>
- Casto-Rebollo, C., Argente, M. J., García, M. L., Blasco, A., & Ibáñez-Escriche, N. (2021). Selection for Environmental Variance of Litter Size in Rabbits Involves Genes in Pathways Controlling Animal Resilience. *Genetics Selection Evolution*. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12711-021-00653-y>
- Casto-Rebollo, C., Argente, M. J., García, M. L., Blasco, A., & Ibáñez-Escriche, N. (2022). Dysimilarities in the Gut Metabolome of Rabbits With Genetic Differences in Their Resilient Potential. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1808216/v1>
- Casto-Rebollo, C., Argente, M. J., García, M. L., Pena, R. N., & Ibáñez-Escriche, N. (2020). Identification of Functional Mutations Associated With Environmental Variance of Litter Size in Rabbits. *Genetics Selection Evolution*. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12711-020-00542-w>
- Cohen, S. (1995). Psychological Stress and Susceptibility to Upper Respiratory Infections. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. https://doi.org/10.1164/ajrccm/152.4_pt_2.s53
- Cohen, S., Miller, G. E., & Rabin, B. S. (2001). Psychological Stress and Antibody Response to Immunization: A Critical Review of the Human Literature. *Psychosomatic Medicine*. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00006842-200101000-00002>
- Costa, T. J., Oliveira, J. C. d., Giachini, F. R., Lima, V. V., Tostes, R. C., & Bomfim, G. F. (2022). Programming of Vascular Dysfunction by Maternal Stress: Immune System Implications. *Frontiers in Physiology*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2022.787617>
- Dahl, G. E., Tao, S., & Laporta, J. (2020). Heat Stress Impacts Immune Status in Cows Across the Life Cycle. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2020.00116>
- Dalgaard, T. S., Briens, M., Engberg, R. M., & Lauridsen, C. (2018). The Influence of Selenium and Selenoproteins on Immune Responses of Poultry and Pigs. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2018.01.020>
- Dekker, S. C., Kraneveld, A. D., Dijk, J. v., Kalfagianni, A., Knulst, A. C., Lelieveldt, H., Moors, E. H., Müller, E., Pieters, R., Pieterse, C. M. J., Rosenkranz, S., Voesenek, L. A. C. J., & Westen, A. C. M. v. (2020). Towards Healthy Planet Diets—A Transdisciplinary Approach to Food Sustainability Challenges. *Challenges*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/challe11020021>
- Donovan, R. A., & West, L. (2014). Stress and Mental Health. *Journal of Black Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0095798414543014>
- Franczok-Kuczmowska, A. (2022). The Meaning of Resilience in Adulthood. *Kwartalnik Naukowy Fides et Ratio*. <https://doi.org/10.34766/fetr.v3i51.1089>
- Gallagher, S., Phillips, A. C., Ferraro, A., & Drayson, M. T. (2008). Psychosocial Factors Are Associated With the Antibody Response to Both Thymus-Dependent and Thymus-Independent Vaccines. *Brain Behavior and Immunity*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2007.10.018>

- Garrido, A., Toda, I. M. d., Cerro, E. D. d., Félix, J., Ceprián, N., González-Sánchez, M., & Fuente, M. D. I. (2022). Social Environment as a Modulator of Immunosenescence. *Expert Reviews in Molecular Medicine*. <https://doi.org/10.1017/erm.2022.24>
- Godbout, J. P., & Glaser, R. (2006). Stress-Induced Immune Dysregulation: Implications for Wound Healing, Infectious Disease and Cancer. *Journal of Neuroimmune Pharmacology*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11481-006-9036-0>
- Gouin, J. P., Hantsoo, L., & Kiecolt-Glaser, J. K. (2008). Immune Dysregulation and Chronic Stress Among Older Adults: A Review. *Neuroimmunomodulation*. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000156468>
- Grafetstätter, C., Gaisberger, M., Prosegger, J., Ritter, M., Kolarž, P., Pichler, C., Thalhamer, J., & Hartl, A. (2017). Does Waterfall Aerosol Influence Mucosal Immunity and Chronic Stress? A Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial. *Journal of Physiological Anthropology*. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40101-016-0117-3>
- Graham, J. E., Christian, L. M., & Kiecolt-Glaser, J. K. (2006). Stress, Age, and Immune Function: Toward a Lifespan Approach. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10865-006-9057-4>
- Hirooka, N., Kusano, T., Kinoshita, S., & Nakamoto, H. (2021). Influence of Perceived Stress and Stress Coping Adequacy on Multiple Health-Related Lifestyle Behaviors. *International journal of environmental research and public health*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19010284>
- Holzer, P., Farzi, A., Hassan, A. M., Zenz, G., Jačan, A., & Reichmann, F. (2017). Visceral Inflammation and Immune Activation Stress the Brain. *Frontiers in Immunology*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2017.01613>
- Hu, D., Lee, W., Chen, M., Caudle, Y., LeSage, G., Li, Q., & Yin, D. (2014). Essential Role of IL-10/STAT3 in Chronic Stress-Induced Immune Suppression. *Brain Behavior and Immunity*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2013.10.016>
- Huang, C.-J., & Acevedo, E. O. (2011). Occupational Stress. *American Journal of Lifestyle Medicine*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1559827611418168>
- Ilanges, A., Xia, M.-Y., Lu, J., Chen, L., Shiao, R., Wang, C., Feng, R., Jin, Z., Yi, H., Qi, Q., Li, J., Schneeberger, M., Lu, B., Friedman, J. M., & Yu, X. (2022). Microbiota-Stimulated Interleukin-22 Regulates Brain Neurons and Protects Against Stress-Induced Anxiety. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.09.16.508224>
- Ivanov, D., Blackhurst, J., & Das, A. (2021). Supply Chain Resilience and Its Interplay With Digital Technologies: Making Innovations Work in Emergency Situations. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijpdlm-03-2021-409>
- Jenkins, A. S., Weeks, M. S., & Hard, B. M. (2021). General and Specific Stress Mindsets: Links With College Student Health and Academic Performance. *PLoS One*. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256351>
- Jin, J., Wang, X., Wang, Q., Guo, X., Cao, J., Zhang, X., Zhu, T., Zhang, D., Wang, W., Wang, J., Shen, B., Gao, X., Shi, Y., & Zhang, J. (2013). Chronic Psychological Stress Induces the Accumulation of Myeloid-Derived Suppressor Cells in Mice. *PLoS One*. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0074497>
- Keller, A. O., Litzelman, K., Wisk, L. E., Maddox, T., Cheng, E. R., Creswell, P. D., & Witt, W. P. (2012). Does the Perception That Stress Affects Health Matter? The Association With Health and Mortality. *Health Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0026743>
- Kim, C. K., Choi, Y. M., Bae, E., Jue, M.-S., So, H. S., & Hwang, E. S. (2018). Reduced NK Cell IFN- γ Secretion and Psychological Stress Are Independently Associated With Herpes Zoster. *PLoS One*. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0193299>
- Kim, W., & Groden, S. (2022). Stress and Health Status Among Members of a Disadvantaged Community in Flint, Michigan in the Early Phase of the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Journal of Community Health*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10900-022-01120-5>
- Knesebeck, O. v. d., Klein, J., Frie, K. G., Blum, K., & Siegrist, J. (2010). Psychosocial Stress Among Hospital Doctors in Surgical Fields. *Deutsches Ärzteblatt International*. <https://doi.org/10.3238/arztebl.2010.0248>
- Kung, A. W. C. (1995). Life Events, Daily Stresses and Coping in Patients With Graves' Disease. *Clinical Endocrinology*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2265.1995.tb01879.x>
- Laupèze, B., Giudice, G. D., Doherty, M., & Most, R. v. d. (2021). Vaccination as a Preventative Measure Contributing to Immune Fitness. *NPJ Vaccines*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41541-021-00354-z>
- Lewitus, G. M., & Schwartz, M. (2008). Behavioral Immunization: Immunity to Self-Antigens Contributes to Psychological Stress Resilience. *Molecular Psychiatry*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2008.103>
- Li, H., Chen, L., Zhang, Y., LeSage, G., Zhang, Y., Wu, Y., Hanley, G. P., Sun, S., & Yin, D. (2011). Chronic Stress Promotes Lymphocyte Reduction Through TLR2 Mediated PI3K Signaling in a B-Arrestin 2 Dependent Manner. *Journal of Neuroimmunology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneuroim.2010.11.015>
- Lima, A., Rogero, M. M., Viel, T. A., Garay-Malpartida, H. M., Aprahamian, I., & Ribeiro, S. M. L. (2022). Interplay Between Inflammaging, Frailty and Nutrition in Covid-19: Preventive and Adjuvant Treatment Perspectives. *The Journal of Nutrition Health & Aging*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12603-021-1720-5>
- Luo, Y., & Sato, Y. (2021). Relationships of Social Support, Stress, and Health Among Immigrant Chinese Women in Japan: A Cross-Sectional Study Using Structural Equation Modeling. *Healthcare*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare9030258>
- Marshall, G. D. (2011). The Adverse Effects of Psychological Stress on Immunoregulatory Balance: Applications to Human Inflammatory Diseases. *Immunology and Allergy Clinics of North America*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iac.2010.09.013>
- Masten, A. S., & Obradović, J. (2008). Disaster Preparation and Recovery: Lessons From Research on Resilience in Human Development. *Ecology and Society*. <https://doi.org/10.5751/es-02282-130109>
- Ménard, C., Pfau, M. L., Hodes, G. E., & Russo, S. J. (2016). Immune and Neuroendocrine Mechanisms of Stress Vulnerability and Resilience. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2016.90>
- Mindus, C., Staavoren, N. v., Fuchs, D., Gostner, J. M., Kjaer, J., Kunze, W., Mian, M. F., Shoveller, A. K., Forsythe, P., & Harlander-Matauschek, A. (2022). Regulatory T Cell Modulation by Lactobacillus Rhamnosus Improves Feather Damage in Chickens. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2022.855261>
- Moreno-López, L., Sallie, S. N., Ioannidis, K., Kaser, M., Schueler, K., Askelund, A. D., Turner, L., & Harmelen, A. L. v. (2021). RAISE Study Protocol: A Cross-Sectional, Multilevel, Neurobiological Study of Resilience After Individual Stress Exposure. *BMJ open*. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-040394>

- Mwamba, J., Norvy, P., & Muhingi, W. N. (2022). Case Management and Resilience of Adolescents Living With Hiv in Kibra Sub-County, Nairobi City County, Kenya. *Journal of Advanced Sociology*. <https://doi.org/10.47941/jas.1007>
- Onigbogi, C. B., & Banerjee, S. (2019). Prevalence of Psychosocial Stress and Its Risk Factors Among Health-Care Workers in Nigeria: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Nigerian Medical Journal*. https://doi.org/10.4103/nmj.nmj_67_19
- Pérez-González, M., Badesso, S., Lorenzo, E., Guruceaga, E., Pérez-Mediavilla, A., García-Osta, A., & Cuadrado-Tejedor, M. (2021). Identifying the Main Functional Pathways Associated With Cognitive Resilience to Alzheimer's Disease. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22179120>
- Pfau, M. L., & Russo, S. J. (2015). Peripheral and Central Mechanisms of Stress Resilience. *Neurobiology of Stress*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ynstr.2014.09.004>
- Powell, N. D., Allen, R. G. D., Hufnagle, A. R., Sheridan, J. F., & Bailey, M. T. (2011). Stressor-Induced Alterations of Adaptive Immunity to Vaccination and Viral Pathogens. *Immunology and Allergy Clinics of North America*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iac.2010.09.002>
- Primiero, G., Barn, B., & Barn, R. (2020). Value-Sensitive Co-Design for Resilient Information Systems. *Studies in Logic Grammar and Rhetoric*. <https://doi.org/10.2478/slgr-2020-0032>
- Pukay-Martin, N. D., Cristiani, S. A., Saveanu, R. V., & Bornstein, R. A. (2003). The Relationship Between Stressful Life Events and Cognitive Function in HIV-Infected Men. *Journal of Neuropsychiatry*. <https://doi.org/10.1176/jnp.15.4.436>
- Qin, A., Zhong, T., Zou, H., Wan, X., Yao, B., Zheng, X., & Yin, D. (2019). Critical Role of Tim-3 Mediated Autophagy in Chronic Stress Induced Immunosuppression. *Cell & Bioscience*. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13578-019-0275-1>
- Ranjan, M. R., Priya, A. J., & Devi, R. G. (2021). Effect of Stress on Mental Health. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International*. <https://doi.org/10.9734/jpri/2021/v33i60a34510>
- Richardson, G. E. (2002). The Metatheory of Resilience and Resiliency. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.10020>
- Romero-Martínez, Á., & Moya-Albiol, L. (2017). Stress-Induced Endocrine and Immune Dysfunctions in Caregivers of People With Eating Disorders. *International journal of environmental research and public health*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph14121560>
- Schneiderman, N., Ironson, G., & Siegel, S. D. (2005). Stress and Health: Psychological, Behavioral, and Biological Determinants. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.clinpsy.1.102803.144141>
- Syafa, Z., Khusna, F., & Rahmatika, S. (2021). Consuming Probiotic Foods Copes With Stress in the Pandemic Era. *Journal of Health Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.33086/jhs.v14i3.2018>
- Taub, D. D. (2008). Neuroendocrine Interactions in the Immune System. *Cellular Immunology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cellimm.2008.05.006>
- Uchino, B. N., Cacioppo, J. T., Malarkey, W. B., & Glaser, R. (1995). Individual Differences in Cardiac Sympathetic Control Predict Endocrine and Immune Responses to Acute Psychological Stress. *Journal of personality and social psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.69.4.736>
- Vidal, P. M., & Pacheco, R. (2020). The Cross-Talk Between the Dopaminergic and the Immune System Involved in Schizophrenia. *Frontiers in Pharmacology*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2020.00394>
- Vitlic, A., Lord, J. M., & Phillips, A. C. (2014). Stress, Ageing and Their Influence on Functional, Cellular and Molecular Aspects of the Immune System. *Age*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11357-014-9631-6>
- Webster, T. M. U., Rodríguez-Barreto, D., Martín, S., Oosterhout, C. v., Orozco-terWengel, P., Cable, J., Hamilton, A., Leániz, C. G. d., & Consuegra, S. (2018). Contrasting Effects of Acute and Chronic Stress on the Transcriptome, Epigenome, and Immune Response of Atlantic Salmon. *Epigenetics*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15592294.2018.1554520>
- Wilson, D. R. (2009). Stress Management for Adult Survivors of Childhood Sexual Abuse: A Holistic Inquiry. *Western Journal of Nursing Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0193945909343703>
- Yao, L., Xiong, Y., Yuan, F., Luo, Y., Yan, L., & Li, Y. (2022). Perceived Stress and Its Impact on the Health Behavior of Chinese Residents During the COVID-19 Epidemic: An Internet-based Cross-sectional Survey. *Health Science Reports*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hsr2.778>
- Yin, D., Tuthill, D., Mufson, R. A., & Shi, Y. (2000). Chronic Restraint Stress Promotes Lymphocyte Apoptosis by Modulating Cd95 Expression. *The Journal of Experimental Medicine*. <https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.191.8.1423>
- Zhang, L., Lu, J., Fu, B.-B., & Li, S. (2018). A Review and Prospect for the Complexity and Resilience of Urban Public Transit Network Based on Complex Network Theory. *Complexity*. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/2156309>
- Zhou, Y., Li, H., Siddiqui, N., Caudle, Y., Zhang, H., Elgazzar, M., & Yin, D. (2017). Hematopoietic Stem Progenitor Cells Prevent Chronic Stress-Induced Lymphocyte Apoptosis. *Journal of Neuroimmunology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneuroim.2017.05.014>